UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,366	07/20/2005	Robert James-Herbert	87036-0003 8195	
24633 HOGAN & HA	7590 06/15/2007 ARTSON LLP		EXAMINER	
IP GROUP, COLUMBIA SQUARE			AHMED, MASUD	
555 THIRTEENTH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3709	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/518,366	JAMES-HERBERT, ROBERT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
-	Masud Ahmed	3709				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on June	<u>19, 2002</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)  Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	a.				
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/17/2004</u> . 6)						

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claim 12 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from a multiple dependent claim 4. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim 12 not been further treated on the merits.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 1. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 2. Regarding claim 1, the phrase "or the like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

Application/Control Number: 10/518,366 Page 3

Art Unit: 3709

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-2 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gryder (US 5252068).

Regarding claims 1-2 and 10 Gryder teaches a game controller frame having head incorporating bearing for suspending a player (col 4, lines 3-7). Player is suspended in a chair or harness (col 4, lines 60-62). Position control for the player to be displayed as the computer game is being played (col 6, lines 25-35). Gryder further teaches the handlebar the player can grasp which is fixed to the frame, and the body movement causes bearing corresponds and the signal gets transmitted to the game (col 6, lines 25-55). Gryder discloses the changing of resistance according to the changes of player rotation (col 6, lines 12-25), which is considered to be exercise for the player since movement of resistance would be higher, and player has to move their body accordingly. Gryder also discloses controller frame is locked by tension cables for the sturdiness of the frame so it prevents it from unwanted movement of the controller (col 5, lines 3-7).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3709

6. Claim 4-5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gryder (US 5,252,068) in view of Beal (US 5,782,639).

Regarding claims 4-5 and 11 Gryder fails to disclose the control bar being a joystick or rotational control wheel type having control functionality. Note that, Gryder discloses these control functionality wherein he explains that control bar and body movement signals are transmitted to the computer game, Beal discloses a motion simulator virtual reality video game having a handlebar that is joy stick type and adjustable to user's need and has various user controls (col 8, lines 36-45). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Beal's joy stick type of control functionality to Gryder's control bar since Gryder's control bar is used to maneuver side to side and change the direction of the flight. The movement of the control bar changes the display images on the screen that controlled by the player, which can be considered as a joystick type of controller.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Carmein (US 5,782,639).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Masud Ahmed whose telephone number is 571 270.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:00am-5:00pm, Alt Fri, EST.

Art Unit: 3709

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jackson can be reached on 571 272 4697. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

5/25/07

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER